

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,309	08/17/2001	Tetsuo Nakamura	Q65828	3931
7	590 06/17/2004		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			CHEA, THORL	
MACKPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			1752	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/931,309	NAKAMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thort Chea	1752
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a if NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi fod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO stute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 II S C 8 13 C 8 14 C 8 1 C 8 C 8 1 C 8 C 8 1 C 8 C 8 1 C 8 C 8
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 May 2004	
	his action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condi	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		•
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- The rejections under 35 USC 112, set forth in the previous action are withdrawn in view of the argument in the response on March 30, 2004.
- 2. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claiming of " $X^{51}$  and  $X^{52}$  each represents an oxygen atom, a sulfur atom, a selenium atom, a tellurium atom or a nitrogen atom" fails to further limit the scope of "Z as thiazole ring, an imidazole ring, a 2-piridine ring o a 4-pyridine ring" in claim 1. The scope of  $X^{51}$  extend beyond the scope of the ring containing Z in claim 1. See the sulfur atom, selenium atom and tellurium atom.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Usagawa et al, US Patent No. 5,057,406 (Usagawa) in view of The Theory Of The Photographic process, Fourth Edition, T.H. James, 1989 (James).

Usagawa disclosed a silver halide material containing dye within the scope of the claimed invention. See the compound of Usagawa in column 35 which contains a furan group vs compound of formula (I) of claims 1, 4 wherein Y is furan ring group; Z is an atomic group

necessary to form 5-membered nitrogen atom ring, R is a substituted alkyl group and p is 0; compound in formula 4 when X<sup>51</sup> and X<sup>52</sup> is a carbon atom. The compound of Usagawa in column 11, compounds 31-34 vs the compound (XXX) in claim 5 Y<sup>61</sup> is thiophene ring, X<sup>61</sup> and X<sup>62</sup> is carbon atom; R<sup>61</sup> and R<sup>62</sup> is a substitute alkyl group. James on page 203, Table 8.3 discloses electrochemical data of homologous series of cyanine dyes and related ionization/electron-affinity data. See especially nuclei in the first column, which show the heterocyclic group containing oxygen, sulfur, and carbon atoms. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sulfur or oxygen atom in the heterocyclic nuclei of the dye of Usagawa with an expectation of achieving a highly useful dye, and thereby provide an invention as claimed. Closely related homologs, analogs, isomers in chemistry may create a prima facie case of obviousness. In re Dillon 16 USPQ 2d 1897, 1904 (Fed. Cir. 1990); In re Payne 203 USPQ 245 (CCPA 1979); in re Mills 126 USPQ 513 (CCPA 1960); In re Henze 85 USPQ 261 (CCPA 1950); In re Hass 60 USPQ 544 (CCPA 1944).

5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Publication No. 2000-63690 (PN<sup>6</sup>90).

See the compound of formula (II) in the P'690, English abstract, which contains Y2 as O, S, Se, N, or C and Q is a group of nonmetallic atoms necessary to from a benzene ring having heterocyclic fused thereto; A2 a group necessary for forming a methine pigment. See also the dye in column 11-14 and the exemplified in columns 21-76 dyes D-1 to D-146 wherein the dyes contain a thiophene group associated therewith. The thiophene group substituted with a chlorine atom is shown in columns 36-37, compound 38.

The present claimed invention in is directed to the claiming of specific ring associated with the dye. See claim 1 wherein Y is a furan ring and Z represents oxazole ring, a thiazole ring, an imidazole ring, a 2-pyridine ring or a 4-pydirine ring; claims 2 contains a generic rings Y-1 to Y-26; claim 5, formula (XXX) contains a thiophene ring, and claim 9 contains a thiophene ring having a halogen as substituent

The compounds disclosed in PN'690 is substantially similar to those claimed in the present claimed invention. It does not exemplify the furan group or pyrrrole ring such as presented in claims 1, 11, but the furan group is within the scope of a group of nonmetallic atoms necessary to from a benzene ring having heterocyclic fused thereto disclosed in PN'690, and the oxygen atom or nitrogen atom belong to the same column of the periodic Table of the Chemial Element. The worker of ordinary skill in the art would have expected that it provide a methine dye with similar properties. The condensed group in claim 2 is within the scope of generic formula II of PN'698 wherein Y2 are each O, S, Se, N, or C and Q which is a heterocyclic compound such as thiophene group exemplified therein. A prima facie case of obviousness may be made when chemical compounds have very close structural similarity and similar utilities. "An obviousness rejection based on similarity in chemical structure and function entails the motivation of one skilled in the art to make a claimed compound, in the expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties." In re Payne, 606 F.2d 303, 313, 203 USPQ 245, 254 (CCPA 1979). See In re Papesch, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963), and In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688, 16 USPQ2d 1897 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

6. Claims 5-9 are rejected under under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over either JP62-204250 (JP'250) or JP61-277950 (JP'950) in view of either Parton et al (Parton) or Hioki et al (Hioki).

JP'250 and JP'950 each disclose the compound of the claimed invention, which contains a thiophene ring which is unsubstituted or substituted with halogen atom (chlorine). See JP'250 page 311, compounds 16-19; 20-22 and JP'950 page 576, compounds 102, 103; page 577, compounds 104-112. Both JP'250 and JP'950 fail to disclose the use of oxygen atom, a sulfur atom, a selenium atom, a nitrogen atom or a carbon atom in association within the nucleus containing a thiophene ring claimed in the present invention. However, the tellurium atom has been known as equivalence or analogues to oxygen atom, a sulfur atom, a selenium atom, a nitrogen atom or a carbon. Note for instance to Parton in column 2, lines 45-68 to column 3, lines 1-34 and Hioki in column 13, lines 11-68. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use oxygen atom, a sulfur atom, a selenium atom, a nitrogen atom or a carbon in lieu of tellurium atom with an expectation of provide a spectrally sensitizing dye with similar property.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed July 15, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is the Examiner's position that the claimed invention is still prima facie obvious over the applied prior art of record. The compound of the claimed invention is a methine dye known to spectrally sensitize silver halide emulsion. The thiophene group and the heterocyclic group has been known to associated with the methine group to form a methine dye such as taught in the PN'690, JP'250 or JP'950. It would expect to the worker of ordinary skill in the art that the pyrrole group or furan group is equivalent to the thione group or other heterocyclic group containing chemical element of similar group. It is reminded that the rejection is based on the prima facie obviousness rejection. The issue in the case is whether the modification of the

Application/Control Number: 09/931,309

Art Unit: 1752

methine dye would have been found obvious over the applied prior art of record. It is the

Page 6

Examiner's position that the claimed dye would have been found prima facie obvious to the

worker of ordinary skill in the art in the absence of showing the criticality of furan, pyrrole or

thiophene and the substituent associated therewith.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (571)272-1328. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tchea / Un June 8, 2004

Thorl Chea Primary Examiner

Mahn

Art Unit 1752